Licensing Committee

Friday, 3rd June, 2016 2.15 - 3.45 pm

Attendees	
Councillors:	Wendy Flynn (Chair), David Willingham (Vice-Chair), Mike Collins, Tim Harman, Adam Lillywhite, Paul McCloskey, Dennis Parsons, Diggory Seacome and Bernard Fisher (Reserve)
Also in attendance:	Vikki Fennell and Phil Cooper

Minutes

1. APOLOGIES

Apologies were received from Councillors Baker and Wilkinson and Councillor Fisher attended as a substitute for Councillor Wilkinson.

- 2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST None
- 3. PUBLIC QUESTIONS None
- 4. MINUTES OF LAST MEETING The minutes of the last meeting held on 4 March 2016 were approved and signed as a true record.

5. APPLICATION FOR A STREET TRADING CONSENT - MR ANDREEV ANGEL

The Licensing Officer, Phil Cooper, introduced the report regarding an application from Mr Angel Andreev for street trading consent to sell hot spiral chips and sweet corn from a catering unit on the highway outside 202 High Street, Cheltenham. The proposed location was not one that was approved for street trading in the Council's adopted policy, but chosen by the applicant as electricity would be supplied by the shop adjacent to the trading cart. The applicant had applied for a three month consent to trade on Fridays and Saturdays from 10:00 to 18:00 hours. Appendices 1 and 2 showed the location of the proposed trading pitch, but as these were not conclusive the Officer circulated 2 extra pictures to clarify the location. Appendices 3 and 4 showed pictures of the trading unit, with a letter in support of the application at Appendix 5.

The Officer reported that one objection had been received from the planning enforcement officer, with details of the objection set out in 4.1 of the report. The Officer reminded members that whilst the proposed location was not one of those approved in the policy, members may grant the application if they were

satisfied that there were reasonable and defensible grounds for departing from the policy in this instance. Otherwise members may refuse the application.

The Chair invited Mr Andreev to speak in support of his application. Mr Andreev told members that he wished to set up a small catering unit selling spiral chips and sweet corn, for an initial 3 month trial period on Fridays and Saturdays only, to see how it went. He said these products were not sold elsewhere in Cheltenham, that he had a good health and safety record and would comply with regulations.

In response to questions from members, Mr Andreev explained that his unit would be outside an existing premises with electricity supply coming from this establishment and with the wiring coming from above so it would not cause a trip hazard. He confirmed that it would be just him working at the cart, that he was health and safety compliant, had food hygiene rating 3 and if he did employ others he would train them. He explained that the location was suitable due to the high footfall in this area, but mainly because of the agreement to access electricity from the nearby locksmiths which would eliminate noise from a generator. Mr Andreev confirmed that stringent arrangements were in place regarding the use and disposal of the hot oil used. Mr Andreev expressed that if this trial was successful, the next stage in his business development would be to look at a permanent shop.

Members raised various questions with the Officer as follows:

- With regard to the rationale of designated trading areas and whether this • location was not an approved site because the area was narrow and the high level of foot traffic in the road, the Officer replied this was a factor, but that specific locations had been chosen and set out in the policy and this was not one of the locations deemed suitable for street trading. He reminded members that they could approve the consent if they felt there were sufficient reasons and it enhanced Cheltenham as a tourist destination.
- In reply to a question of whether this trading unit would be accepted if it • were to go to a designated area, the Officer replied that even in an approved location, an application would come before committee if there were objections. He confirmed that no objections had been received from hot food retailers in the area for this application, but it was not in an approved area.
- The Officer confirmed that after 3 months the applicant would have to re-• apply. He explained that if there were any problems during that initial time the Licensing team would work with the applicant to sort them out prior to it coming before the committee again.
- The Officer said the matter of inadequate location maps had been noted • and that a meeting on this issue had already been held and the Licensing team may be able to assist applicants in future.

The Chair invited the applicant to have a final say and Mr Andreev thanked the committee for listening and hoped they would approve his consent so he could take his business forward.

In support of the application some members wanted to encourage sustainable economic development in the town and would consider approval as the

application was for a trial period only and time and day limited. They also felt there was a lack of approved locations in the town for hot food stalls and that the provision of power supplies in the town centre was lacking and suggested this could be a reason to deviate from policy.

Other members expressed concern about the location of the unit, being near a bus lane and an area of high footfall and felt it could be an obstruction. Others had concern about the council's policy on this and the allocation of suitable locations, although this was not a matter for debate at this meeting.

In summing up, the Chair reminded members of the objection raised in the report that the trading unit did not fit in with the street scene in this central location and that they should only deviate from policy in exceptional circumstances.

Upon a vote, it was 4 for and 5 against.

RESOLVED THAT, Mr Angel Andreev's application for a street trading consent be refused, as it did not comply with the provision of the street trading policy.

6. APPLICATION FOR A STREET TRADING CONSENT - MRS ELLEN DANTER

The Licensing Officer, Phil Cooper, introduced the report regarding an application from Mrs Ellen Danter for a seasonal consent to trade on the High Street, at its junction with Cambray Place, from 11 November to 31 December 2016. Mrs Danter proposed selling hot and cold food and drinks as listed in 1.1 of the report from a hot food unit measuring 4.8m x 2.4m, on the days and times as set out in 1.3 of the report.

Appendix 1 showed the location of the proposed trading pitch and Appendix 2 an image of the trading unit.

The Officer informed members that the proposed trading location was one approved for street trading in the council's adopted policy, however the policy restricted the type of goods to be traded from that location to signup services and buskers selling merchandise, not food and drink. Also the proposed trading unit was larger than the permitted size. He continued that one objection had been received from the Cheltenham Business Partnership Manager as outlined in 4.1 of the report.

He advised members that whilst the proposed trading unit did not comply with the council's policy, they may grant the application if they were satisfied that there were reasonable and defensible grounds to depart from the policy in this instance. Otherwise members may refuse the application.

In response to questions from members, the Officer confirmed that the trading unit was 11.52sq m, with the council's policy being no larger than 6sq m, and that Mrs Danter had traded in this location for the past 3 years and prior to that had traded nearer to M&S and WH Smith. The Officer advised that the Licensing Team had worked with and advised Mrs Danter when she applied for the new location and that there had been no adverse complaints since being in this location with the exception of one or two local food businesses who felt they lost some trade. He advised that Cheltenham Business Partnership (CBP) was consulted on all new applications and they choose which local businesses to consult with and the CBP do not normally tell the licensing team which businesses they have contacted. He agreed that the unit was almost twice the recommended size for the approved location and that it was positioned across the highway with the serving hatch facing down towards the town centre.

The Chair invited Mrs Danter to speak in support of her application. Mrs Danter informed members that her family had been street trading since 1984 (32 years) in Cheltenham and for 13 years had had a Christmas stall selling Christmas fayre in the centre of Cheltenham. She clarified that originally she was opposite M&S, but when there was highway work in the town centre she was offered another position and had been at this location for 3 years. She remarked that it was not as viable, but that they worked hard and gave a good service to the people of Cheltenham. Mrs Danter commented that she did not believe that her unit was very different in size in square feet to that of the fruit juice stall opposite Cavendish House and that she had not had any problems with the size in the past. She referred to the construction work in relation to the arrival of the John Lewis store which may affect her stall, but said she was willing to try and see what happened and hoped the committee would consider her application favourably.

In reply to questions from members, Mrs Danter confirmed that she and her family worked primarily on the stall with help from a boy who worked with them and they shared the hours. All were well aware of food safety and health and hygiene regulations and knew what they were doing. She said that obstruction of the highway from queues was not a problem and that if there were a number of people at the stall they stood in front of it. She also confirmed they had a built in generator.

Some members expressed concern about the size of the unit in this location and asked the Officer if there were any other spaces in the policy that would accommodate something over 6m. The Officer confirmed that there were no approved locations in the town centre but larger units were allowed on industrial estates.

There was general concern about the extensive works in the High Street and inevitable future works in connection with the John Lewis development and the effect this might have on this trading location. The Officer reported that John Lewis will not be open this year but that if highways works are needed then street trading consents can be moved to different locations temporarily subject to consultation, as happened with the falafel seller on the High Street when highways works were carried out in that location. One member questioned whether precedence was a legitimate reason for deviating from policy, bearing in mind the size of the stall and that it had been in this location for 3 years. The Officer advised that each application had to be considered on its own merit and that this application didn't come to committee last year, but due to the implementation of the new policy and the fact that this application deviated from the new policy on the grounds of its size and that the location is not an approved location for the sale of hot food and drink, it had to be referred to committee this year.

A couple of members added that it was a well-run business that was good on waste collection and keeping the location tidy and felt that it was a valuable addition to the street scene at Christmas.

There being no further questions or comments, the Chair moved to vote.

Upon a vote, it was 7 for, 1 against and 1 abstention.

RESOLVED THAT, Mrs Ellen Danter's application for a street trading consent to sell hot and cold food and drinks on approved site High Street 6 from 11 November to 31 December 2016 be approved, as members were satisfied that the location was suitable and that it would enhance the seasonal street scene at Christmas.

Mrs Danter thanked the committee saying common sense prevailed and that if they needed to move because of the John Lewis development that this would not be a problem.

7. APPLICATION FOR A STREET TRADING CONSENT - MR NATHAN WORDEN

The Licensing Officer, Phil Cooper, introduced the report regarding an application from Mr Nathan Worden for street trading consent to sell food and drink products, as listed in 1.1 of the report, from a Piagio vehicle outside 113 The Promenade. The proposed trading days and times were set out in 1.3 of the report and the Officer pointed out that the proposed location was not one that was approved for street trading in the council's adopted policy. Appendices 1 and 2 showed the proposed trading pitch and Appendix 3 showed an image of the trading unit.

The Officer reported that objections had been received from the council's public realm and planning enforcement officers, as outlined in 4.1 of the report. Mr Worden was unable to attend the meeting but had asked for the application to be determined in his absence.

The Officer reminded members that whilst the proposed trading location was not one of the approved sites in the council's policy, members may grant the application if they were satisfied that there were reasonable and defensible grounds to depart from the policy in this instance. Otherwise members may refuse the application.

Members posed questions to the Officer about the location of the trading unit, and the Officer replied that the pitch was on the pavement just beyond the Prezzo restaurant and confirmed this was outside the zone of the street trading control policy, which meant anyone could apply and all applications would come before committee. He further added that the applicant had favoured this location in the Promenade with its high footfall, businesses and shops and that he was aware it was not an approved location.

Members questioned the grounds for the objections. The Officer replied that one of the objector's suggestions that a stall would be better than a vehicle was a matter of opinion and members should consider whether it enhanced the street scene. He confirmed that the Piagio van at the other end of the Promenade was licensed some 5/6 years ago as the committee agreed it did enhance the street scene, but that the notion of precedence should not be considered as each application should be considered on its own merit. In reply to the objection regarding the A boards in this location, the Officer agreed that in the past there had been issues with A boards here from other businesses which could be considered to be obstructing the highway, but that this was an enforcement issue which had been dealt with.

Members had divided opinions on the suitability of the location, some liking the position, thinking it far enough away from the other Piagio van and that it added to the street scene. Others felt its location near a busy traffic junction, under trees and near to already established competitors, was a matter of concern. There were also concerns about waste disposal and hygiene conditions. The Officer informed members that there were two standard conditions about rubbish disposal in the policy that related to all street traders; that any hygiene issues would be raised with environmental health and that no objections had been received from local nearby businesses, although one had wanted to object but his objection had been received too late.

There being no other questions, the Chair moved to vote.

Upon a vote, it was 3 for, 6 against.

RESOLVED THAT, the application from Mr Nathan Worden for a street trading consent be refused as it did not comply with the provision of the street trading policy.

8. ANY OTHER ITEMS THE CHAIRMAN DETERMINES TO BE URGENT AND WHICH REQUIRES A DECISION None

9. DATE OF NEXT MEETING

The next meeting would be held on Friday 1 July at 2.15pm.

Discussion followed on the timings of Licensing meetings and the proposal to consider evening meetings. It was pointed out that meetings were held at this time to accommodate taxi drivers and premises license holders whose trade was busiest in the evenings. The timing of 2.15pm also allowed those of Muslim faith to attend after prayers. It was also mentioned that the Council diary for 2016-17 had already been agreed and that members had been consulted before it was approved at Council.

Upon a show of hands, the majority preferred it to remain as it was or were impartial. Two present, plus one absentee, wanted it moved to an evening meeting.

The Chair agreed to leave it as it was for the time being.

Wendy Flynn Chairman